

## IASPM Executive Committee

### IASPM Executive Committee Skype Meeting

**Saturday 12 April 2014**

**Present:** Goffredo Plastino, Laura Jordán, Alejandro Madrid, Martha Ulhoa, Ed Montano, Sue Miller

**Absent:** Sara Jansson

**Chair:** Goffredo Plastino

**Minutes:** Sue Miller

### No Fixed Agenda

#### 1. CFP

a. SM asked about the protocol for members of the EC submitting panels and papers, particularly if also serving on the AC. To avoid conflicts of interest what was the correct procedure?

GF said it is fine for members of the EC to submit papers and panels but that these needed to be forwarded to members of the AC not on the EC for consideration/assessment, to avoid conflicts of interest.

MU said that IASPM is pretty welcoming to papers and tries to accommodate most in any case.

b. SM asked about promotion of the cfp on the IASPM lists and also on other lists, as she could send the cfp to the BFE, LAMS, Golden pages etc. in the UK.

AM said he could forward to the SEM list, AMS and American Music theory group

GF said he would ask the president of ICTM to pass on details of the cfp.

#### 2. Gijón proceedings

MU asked about the Gijón proceedings progress.

EM confirmed that these were in progress with help from Samantha Bennett from Canberra.

He is waiting on Eduardo to edit the Spanish version. All will be published in time for the Brazil conference.

### **3. CFP reminders**

EM said that so far only 1 abstract received and will send out reminders to the lists just after Easter.

### **4. ANZ branch concerns over cfp deadline and IASPM list netiquette**

Noted that new researchers might not have contacts for panels but SM said perhaps we could make members aware they can use the list to publicise their interests and search for panel members (as is done on the SEM list). GP said that an extension of 2 weeks max could be granted but as EM is away from 19 June it would not be practicable to extend any further. Most international conferences allow enough time for presenters to search for funding. SM pointed out that most funding bodies only fund those whose papers have been accepted and applications for funding are often 6 months to a year ahead of the actual conference.

Regarding netiquette: this concern was generated by one member's comments on the list and the EC felt that the issue was resolved on the list itself through scholarly argument. A discussion on guidelines for netiquette was held but the EC felt this was more of a localised problem and that no action should be taken at present.

### **4. Role of EC members on the ground at the Brazil conference**

SM asked what roles EC members were expected to undertake on the ground in Brazil. LJ said this was down to the OC. MU concurred saying the EC in Gijón was in charge of the General Meeting and any other formal EC ones but that the OC directed the rest. The EC meets with the OC for the opening and closing of the conference and the GM. The EC must also put the programme together well.

### **5. Liaison with Brazilian music academics in the UK**

MU will be at a conference in August run by the Brazilian Association at King's College London, and will suggest they submit panels (e.g. on festivals). She recommended members of the EC actively encourage organisations to put panels together for the conference. SM said she would contact Fred Moehn at King's to suggest this (and also LAMS).

### **6. IASPM Book Prize**

GF asked if all the information on the previous prizes was on the website; he also asked about when would the Book Prize process start for the 2015 prize.

MU said the process was started a little late last time; books must have been published by December 2014, so she suggested a January 2015 deadline for nominations.

AM: GF has to appoint a committee in collaboration with the EC (MU says this has to be discussed first with the EC).

AM asked if it was possible to name the book prize after an important scholar. MU observed that the IASPM Book Prize would be sufficient. GF thought it a good idea — but which important scholar? A choice could be considered controversial. SM asked if the book could be in any language. LJ said that the prize is for one book in English and the other in any other language. GF said we should postpone the discussion to October/November. He is happy with these 2 options and to put the call out for nominations during the second week in January. In the meantime we can think of possible names for the Book Prize chair.

## **7. Conference expenses**

EM asked if members of the EC get their flight and accommodation paid for the conference. MU said the local committee (OC) applied for funds, but if they do not materialise then IASPM will fund the EC members. GF reminded that the editor of the IASPM journal will also get costs covered. EM's institution could support his expenses, and wanted to know whether to apply or not. MU said to apply anyway in order to save IASPM's funds. SM's institution will not fund her to attend the conference so will need IASPM to pay costs.

## **8. Keynote speakers**

MU said that there had been problems in the past with keynote speakers and it is now more democratic, or better to choose some panels (one from each strand) as plenary sessions. GF said we could discuss possibility of a keynote speaker once abstracts are in.

## **9. Website amendments**

LJ asked EM to remove from the website the Bulgaria branch link, as the branch is no longer active.

AM noted that IASPM Spain is absent from the site; EM put the link on IASPM site to rectify after LJ and AM found the correct url address.